



# A Case for Rigor in the Glow of the Pipeline

By Warren Rosenstein  
March 14, 2011

## BOSTON

33 Railroad Avenue  
Duxbury, MA 02332  
Tel: +1 781 934 8400

## CHICAGO

Aon Center  
200 East Randolph Street, 10th Floor  
Chicago, IL 60601-6421  
Tel: +1 312 381 9700

## DUBAI

Dubai International Financial Centre  
The Gate Village, Building 07  
2nd Floor, Unit 9  
Dubai, United Arab Emirates  
P.O. Box 506706  
Tel: +9 714 425 5747

## HONG KONG

2601-05 Shell Tower, Times Square  
1 Matheson Street  
Causeway Bay, Hong Kong  
Tel: +852 2840 0911

## LONDON

Lloyds Chambers, 5th Floor  
1 Portsoken Street  
London E1 8BT, England  
Tel: +44 207 680 7400

## MINNEAPOLIS

3600 American Boulevard West  
Suite 110  
Minneapolis, MN 55431  
Tel: +1 866 280 3720

## NEW YORK

199 Water Street, 12th Floor  
New York, NY 10038  
Tel: +1 212 441 2000

## SHANGHAI

36/F, Shanghai Central Plaza  
381 Huai Hai Road Middle  
200020 Shanghai, China  
Tel: +86 21 2306 6688

## STAMFORD (Headquarters)

1600 Summer Street, Suite 601  
Stamford, CT 06905  
Tel: +1 203 359 2878

## TOKYO

Akasaka Kato Building, 2nd Floor  
22-15, Akasaka 2-chome  
Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0052  
Japan  
Tel: +813 5549 1850

[www.mclagan.com](http://www.mclagan.com)

2010 was a bounce back year for many Investment Banking firms focused on M&A, particularly smaller "boutique" shops. After painful years in 2008 and 2009, with low deal volume, scrambles to assemble restructuring teams, and speculation about the merits of countercyclical products and services, firms are bullish on the M&A pipeline and focusing on expansion. If the anticipated deal volume comes to fruition, this will likely be a prosperous year for the vast majority of firms in this space. There will be a small set of firms that will make the most of this opportunity and some that will simply ride the wave up and then slide back down.

In the scramble to staff out across sectors and geographies and harvest as much opportunity as possible, firms may lose track of appropriately managing the single factor that has the greatest influence on the long-term success of the business: compensation.

Compensation in the M&A space for boutiques is the largest cost of doing business - larger than all the other costs combined. This is a space where there is typically no capital committed and little delivered in the way of commoditized products offered to clients. Thus, at the end of the day firms are selling intellectual capital and sorting out how to most effectively divide up the proceeds from these sales. While it sounds relatively simple, many questions hang on the back of this:

1. How should the equity / ownership of these organizations best be structured?
2. What percentage of the proceeds should be distributed to the equity holders vs. the revenue producers?
3. What is the appropriate funding rate for the business, based on its unique set of challenges / opportunities / level of maturity?
4. Once the firm wide bonus pool is funded, how is it best divided up between teams or divisions?
5. How can the pool distribution be optimized?
6. Does the overall cost structure of the organization (non-compensation costs) support the business without creating an undue drain on compensation?
7. Is the support or infrastructure area of the organization appropriately calibrated to the size and needs of the banker population?
8. Are the right bankers on board? Are they productive?

While this is a fairly long list of concerns, many of them speak to each other. In attempting to position a firm to maximize the benefits of a robust pipeline, it is critical to benchmark and assess the firm's performance relative to the competitive market. When

**BOSTON**

33 Railroad Avenue  
 Duxbury, MA 02332  
 Tel: +1 781 934 8400

**CHICAGO**

Aon Center  
 200 East Randolph Street, 10th Floor  
 Chicago, IL 60601-6421  
 Tel: +1 312 381 9700

**DUBAI**

Dubai International Financial Centre  
 The Gate Village, Building 07  
 2nd Floor, Unit 9  
 Dubai, United Arab Emirates  
 P.O. Box 506706  
 Tel: +9 714 425 5747

**HONG KONG**

2601-05 Shell Tower, Times Square  
 1 Matheson Street  
 Causeway Bay, Hong Kong  
 Tel: +852 2840 0911

**LONDON**

Lloyds Chambers, 5th Floor  
 1 Portsoken Street  
 London E1 8BT, England  
 Tel: +44 207 680 7400

**MINNEAPOLIS**

3600 American Boulevard West  
 Suite 110  
 Minneapolis, MN 55431  
 Tel: +1 866 280 3720

**NEW YORK**

199 Water Street, 12th Floor  
 New York, NY 10038  
 Tel: +1 212 441 2000

**SHANGHAI**

36/F, Shanghai Central Plaza  
 381 Huai Hai Road Middle  
 200020 Shanghai, China  
 Tel: +86 21 2306 6688

**STAMFORD** (Headquarters)

1600 Summer Street, Suite 601  
 Stamford, CT 06905  
 Tel: +1 203 359 2878

**TOKYO**

Akasaka Kato Building, 2nd Floor  
 22-15, Akasaka 2-chome  
 Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0052  
 Japan  
 Tel: +813 5549 1850

[www.mclagan.com](http://www.mclagan.com)

done properly, this assessment should quickly reveal where to find the greatest opportunity for improvement:

- Is there a "revenue problem"? (too many unproductive bankers or not enough productive ones - firm unable to generate enough revenue to reward talent)
- Is there a "cost problem"? (too undisciplined with controlling cost - in spite of good production, there is a hole in the bottom of the bucket)
- Is there a "scaling problem"? (oversized support staff is a drain on banker production)

The results of this diagnostic process, coupled with the existing, defined business plan create a clear lens through which to view the set of eight compensation related questions listed above. These questions cannot be answered generically - the answers need to conform to the business plan and the competitive benchmarking. Surprisingly, in spite of a generally optimistic market view, there may be significantly different strategies appropriate for different firms in this space - consider these hypothetical scenarios:

**Firm A**

- Would benefit from actually shrinking in size - pruning out unproductive bankers, narrowing focus on fewer sectors with deeper coverage
- The comp cost reduction due to increased discipline around staffing would free up dollars to hire top talent only and over the course of time would result in a leaner organization with upgraded capabilities

**Firm B**

- Has disproportionately large support staff - has capacity to grow banker population significantly without adding incremental support heads or needs to scale back infrastructure compensation spend

**Firm C**

- Is owned by partners who only account for a fraction of revenue production - connection needs to be established between producers and ownership stake and returns

**Firm D**

- Has ambitions to add significant amount of staff, but is concerned about new bankers being focused purely on current year comp payouts vs. building franchise - need to build link to long-term view

All things considered, most firms advising clients on M&A transactions will have a strong year in 2011. Some number of these firms will make a decision to use this as a transformational year. They will not only enjoy the short-term spoils of a hot market but also make structural changes that will leave them poised for continued success throughout the business cycle. ■

**ABOUT THE AUTHOR**

**Warren Rosenstein** is a Principal at McLagan. Mr. Rosenstein has authored numerous articles and whitepapers, most recently in the *Conference Board Review* and *The Secured Lender*. Warren can be reached at (203) 602-1205 or [wrosenstein@mclagan.com](mailto:wrosenstein@mclagan.com).